
[EXTERNAL] Testimony to DENY the proposals

From Angela Chon <achon4@hawaii.edu>

Date Mon 4/27/2026 2:06 PM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

My name is Angela Chon and I am a resident of Oahu. I respectfully urge you to **DENY** the staff recommendations in items C-2 and C-3, concerning 1) the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules you adopted last year, and 2) a rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity ("DAB").

First, with regards to C-2, I stand with Moloka'i residents who successfully advocated for last year's historic CRB emergency rule for Moloka'i, in urging you to reject the petition for an exception to this rule.

Notably, while submitted by the Kalaupapa superintendent, the petition would allow for "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of the island. However, despite the wide-ranging implications and increased risk of CRB introductions, the petitioner still has not met meaningfully with the larger Moloka'i community. Instead, it has substituted community consultation with a survey it appears to have developed on its own, and circulated among only a few dozen individuals, nearly all from Kalaupapa. **Minimally, much more community conversation and dialogue is needed before you even consider this controversial proposal.**

Beyond the need to actually consult with the larger community, including and particularly the advocates for last year's importation ban, this petition should also be rejected as particularly untimely. With multiple recent detections of CRB on Moloka'i for the first time ever, **now is not the time to relax protections against the further introduction of CRB to the island, nor is it the time to distract from or disrupt the active rapid response efforts being undertaken by the Moloka'i community to detect and eradicate any potential CRB infestations as soon as possible.**

Second, with regards to C-3, I have grave concerns about moving forward with a list of proposed designated "pests" based only on certain species of concern identified over 18 years ago. The 2008 list of invasive species proposed for "pest" designation and regulatory action - such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions - ignores a number of invasive species that have arisen as serious threats since that time, as well as the extensive work that has been done to identify additional species of concern (such as the queensland longhorn beetle, the two-lined spittlebug, or the hala scale) over the last 5 years. **Please do not "lock in" official pest designations to only those invasive species that were deemed a threat nearly two decades ago, and urge DAB staff to "go back to the drawing board" to consult with experts and community members who have been at the forefront of our current fight against invasive species.**

Mahalo nui for your consideration of this matter.

[EXTERNAL] Testimony AGAINST Agenda C-2 & C-3

From Audrey Newman <audnewman@gmail.com>
Date Mon 4/27/2026 1:23 PM
To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

To: Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity (DAB)
From: Audrey Newman, Molokai Resident
Date: 27 April 2026
Subject: **Testimony AGAINST CRB exemption for Kalaupapa (Agenda C-2) and Outdated Proposed Pest List (Agenda C-3).**

Aloha Chair Hurd and Board Members,

Mahalo for this opportunity to express my **STRONG CONCERNS and OPPOSITION to items C-2 and C-3 on your agenda today:**

- C-2: the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules undermines your strong support for the Molokai community to prevent this major risk to agriculture and natural resources.
- C-3: rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by DAB. While the intent is good, the 2008 list **MUST** be updated with statewide expert input before this action will be effective.

My name is Audrey Newman, and I have lived in Hawaii for 46 years and on Molokai for 24 years. I am retired after a 40+ year career in conservation in Hawai'i and with islands around the world, including the earliest work to address the threat of invasive species to islands. I testified in support of DAB's CRB actions for Molokai last year along with many community invasive species experts, farmers, homesteaders landowners and residents. Yet I understand that Kalaupapa National Historic Park (KNHP) did not consult any of us on their proposed gravel shipment and DAB request. KNHP needs to engage in a constructive, good faith community process before asking DAB for an exception.

Both of these proposals are **not ready for DAB Board action**. The C-2 exception request for gravel shipment to KNHP poses a serious threat of bringing Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle (CRB) to Molokai. The proposed CRB fumigation process should be tested and proven effective on O'ahu **BEFORE** it is used by KNHP. Instead, I strongly urge KNHP to secure the gravel from local Molokai sources and avoid this risk altogether. Any additional cost would be much less than the cost of CRB control (& community opposition) if there is an accidental introduction. If KNHP must ship from off-island, DAB should **REQUIRE** a documented and proven protocol, developed with community agreement, and tested before and after shipment to confirm the gravel is CRB-free. Finally, this exemption should not automatically apply to other gravel shipments to Molokai.

I urge the DAB to once again provide your strong support for the Molokai community's commitment to keep CRB off our island by requiring KNHP to source their gravel locally or develop a community-approved and proven protocol to assure any shipment is pest-free.

For item C-3, I commend DAB staff for proposing proactive actions, such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions, for priority invasive species. However this action has not received

current, statewide, expert input. The 2008 list does not include more recently arrived invasive species that pose serious threats to agriculture and natural resources. Early action is most effective for cost-effective control, containment or eradication! **Please direct DAB staff to propose an up-to-date pest list for DAB actions, by consulting experts - e.g. Coordinating Group on Alien Pest Species (CGAPS), Hawaii Invasive Species Council and Committees (HISC), and knowledgeable community members on each island who are actively working to control invasive species across Hawai'i.**

Mahalo nui for your leadership and support to protect Hawaii's biosecurity.

Audrey Newman
Kalae, Molokai

--

Audrey Newman

Conservation & Sustainability Advisor

phone: [1.808.567.6761](tel:1.808.567.6761)

cell: [1.808.372.4179](tel:1.808.372.4179)



SIERRA CLUB OF HAWAI'I

Testimony to Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity

April 28, 2026

9:00 AM

PQB Conference Room

Agenda Item IV.C.3

In OPPOSITION to the Staff Recommendation to (1) Approve Proposed Chapter 4-69, Hawaii Administrative Rules, Entitled “Plant and Non-Domestic Animal Quarantine Pest Designation Rules” to Proceed to Public Hearing, Provided that the Advisory Committee on Plants and Animals Subsequently Reviews and Does not Recommend Substantive Changes to the Proposed Rules; and (2) Authorize the Chairperson to Schedule Public Hearings and Appoint One or More Hearings Officers in Connection With the Adoption of Chapter 4-69, Hawaii Administrative Rules.

Aloha mai e Chair Hurd and members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

The Sierra Club of Hawai'i strongly urges you to **DENY** the staff recommendation to move forward with rulemaking, to designate a list of pests for “regulatory action” that only includes species identified for eradication nearly two decades ago, and that omits numerous invasive species that have raised serious biosecurity concerns since that time.

As a preliminary matter, the submittal and rulemaking proposal is unclear as to what “regulatory action” requires the designation of listed pests by rule. The legislative informational briefing that led to this proposal, and this submittal’s language, suggest that the list is needed to identify priority invasive species, known to occur to some degree in the islands, that should be completely prohibited from admission into the state. **However, Hawai'i Revised Statutes Chapter 150A does not appear to require rulemaking for pests to be subject to Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity (DAB)’s importation prevention responsibilities, or the other “pest”-related provisions of that Chapter.**¹ Minimally, and particularly in light of the erroneous legal assertions made by DAB staff in a “legal memo” to the legislature that was not reviewed by the Attorney General, much more clarity is needed as to what this list is actually

¹ Haw. Rev. Stat. §150A-2 (““Pest” means any animal, insect, disease agent or other organism in any stage of development that is detrimental or potentially harmful to agriculture, or horticulture, or animal or public health, or natural resources including native biota or has an adverse effect on the environment as determined by the board.” (emphasis added).)

needed for, and what it will allow or require DAB to do - including whether rulemaking is even needed for the list's intended purpose.

To the extent that the proposed designation of "pests" is required for some level of DAB regulatory action, the Sierra Club is also deeply concerned that the list of invasive species put forward for "pest" designation is severely outdated, missing numerous species including but not limited to the two-lined spittlebug, queensland longhorn beetle, and other invasive species that are approaching levels of critical concern. While the 2008 list of species proposed for "pest" designation is a start, many, many other species may require "regulatory action," including those identified in extensive stakeholder and expert discussions over the past decade. **However, to amend the proposed list after formal rulemaking has commenced would likely be considered a "substantive" change requiring the process to begin again.** This in turn would result in significant rulemaking delays or the promulgation of rules that tie DAB's hands in taking "regulatory action" for non-listed but seriously concerning invasive species, until another rulemaking process is completed.

Given the patent insufficiency of the proposed list of designated pests in this submittal, it makes no sense for the Board to move forward with the formal rulemaking process at this time.

The Sierra Club of Hawai'i accordingly strongly urges the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity to **deny or defer** the staff recommendation in agenda item IV.C.3, until much more clarity is provided as to what the recommendation would actually do (or not do), and stakeholder and expert consultation takes place to develop a much more comprehensive list of "pests" that should be prioritized for "regulatory action."

Mahalo nui for the opportunity to testify.



SIERRA CLUB OF HAWAI'I

Testimony to Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity

April 28, 2026

9:00 AM

PQB Conference Room

Agenda Item IV.C.2

In OPPOSITION to the Petition From Nancy Holman, Kalaupapa National Historic Park, to Initiate Administrative Rulemaking to Amend Plant Quarantine Interim Rule 25-1, to Allow Gravel to be Moved From Any Other Area in the State to the Island of Molokai if it Has Been Subjected to an Approved Treatment Prior to Movement.

Aloha mai e Chair Hurd and members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

The Sierra Club of Hawai'i strongly urges you to **DENY** the petition to allow for any quantity of "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of Moloka'i from any other island, notwithstanding the recently promulgated emergency prohibition on the importation of coconut rhinoceros beetle (CRB) host material to Moloka'i.

The Sierra Club supports the concerns raised by those in the Moloka'i community who have been actively working to protect the island from the potentially devastating impacts of CRB, especially in light of the lack of meaningful outreach or consultation from the petitioner regarding their desired rule amendment. **Having invested years and hundreds of hours if not more in educating and enlisting island businesses, farms, and neighborhoods in the fight against CRB, including through the successful adoption of the Moloka'i CRB rules, these stakeholders are essential to the island's biosecurity fight, and should be key consultants in any proposal that could undermine their ongoing efforts.** Unfortunately, the petitioner appears to have substituted meaningful consultation with an informal survey it developed on its own, and administered almost exclusively to those living and working in the Kalaupapa settlement – rather than the larger Moloka'i community that this rule proposal would impact.

The Sierra Club also emphasizes that Moloka'i's biosecurity stakeholders are currently working overtime to respond to the recent discovery of several CRB on the island, including by surveying the landscape for potential CRB breeding sites. **A rule change to allow the importation of gravel to any part of Moloka'i from any other island, including heavily infested O'ahu, would only add another stressor that would distract from their critical and extremely time-sensitive efforts.**

In addition, this rule change would require on-the-ground work from these very same stakeholders to monitor any imported gravel for CRB (or other potential pests) that may not have been eradicated by the proposed untested fumigation strategy; this in turn could divert resources and limited capacity from other high-priority biosecurity needs. Again, these stakeholders should be consulted to determine how any exception to the current Moloka'i CRB rules may impact their on-the-ground capacity to protect their island from this highly invasive pest.

Minimally, much more meaningful consultation with key stakeholders and the larger Moloka'i community – not a unilaterally developed survey, largely of the petitioner's employees – must take place before this petition is considered. The Sierra Club is optimistic that such consultation may also lead to alternative ways to meet the petitioner's needs, beyond those described in the petition.

Accordingly, the Sierra Club urges the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity to **DENY** the petition request in agenda item IV.C.2. Mahalo nui for the opportunity to testify.

[EXTERNAL] Testimony on Agenda Items C-2 and C-3.

From keri zacher <zacherk@hotmail.com>

Date Mon 4/27/2026 7:33 PM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

My name is Keri Zacher and I am a resident of Moloka'i. I respectfully urge you to **REJECT** the staff recommendations in items C-2 and C-3, concerning 1) the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules you adopted last year, and 2) a rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity ("DAB").

First, with regards to C-2, I stand with Moloka'i residents who successfully advocated for last year's historic CRB emergency rule for Moloka'i, in urging you to reject the petition for an exception to this rule.

Notably, while submitted by the Kalaupapa superintendent, the petition would allow for "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of the island. However, despite the wide-ranging implications and increased risk of CRB introductions, the petitioner still has not met meaningfully with the larger Moloka'i community. Instead, it has substituted community consultation with a survey it appears to have developed on its own, and circulated among only a few dozen individuals, nearly all from Kalaupapa. **Minimally, much more community conversation and dialogue is needed before you even consider this controversial ask.**

Beyond the need to actually consult with the larger community, including and particularly the advocates for last year's importation ban, this petition should also be rejected as particularly untimely. With multiple recent detections of CRB on Moloka'i for the first time ever, **now is not the time to relax protections against the further introduction of CRB to the island, nor is it the time to distract from or disrupt the active rapid response efforts being undertaken by the Moloka'i community to detect and eradicate any potential CRB infestations as soon as possible.**

Second, with regards to C-3, I have grave concerns about moving forward with a list of designated pests, based only on certain species of concern identified over 18 years ago. The 2008 list of invasive species proposed for "pest" designation and regulatory action - such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions - ignores a number of invasive species that have arisen as serious threats since that time, as well as the extensive work that has been done to identify additional species of concern (such as the queensland longhorn beetle, the two-lined spittlebug, or the hala scale) over the last 5 years. **Please do not "lock in"**

official pest designations to only those invasive species that were deemed a threat nearly two decades ago, and urge DAB staff to “go back to the drawing board” to consult with experts and community members who have been at the forefront of our current fight against invasive species.

Mahalo nui for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,
Keri Zacher

[EXTERNAL] Testimony on Agenda Items C-2 and C-3.

From Lauren Ballesteros <esta.asi24@gmail.com>

Date Mon 4/27/2026 6:50 PM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

My name is Lauren and I am a resident of O'ahu. I respectfully urge you to **DENY** the staff recommendations in items C-2 and C-3, concerning 1) the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules you adopted last year, and 2) a rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity ("DAB").

First, with regards to C-2, I stand with Moloka'i residents who successfully advocated for last year's historic CRB emergency rule for Moloka'i, in urging you to reject the petition for an exception to this rule.

Notably, while submitted by the Kalaupapa superintendent, the petition would allow for "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of the island. However, despite the wide-ranging implications and increased risk of CRB introductions, the petitioner still has not met meaningfully with the larger Moloka'i community. Instead, it has substituted community consultation with a survey it appears to have developed on its own, and circulated among only a few dozen individuals, nearly all from Kalaupapa. **Minimally, much more community conversation and dialogue is needed before you even consider this controversial proposal.**

Beyond the need to actually consult with the larger community, including and particularly the advocates for last year's importation ban, this petition should also be rejected as particularly untimely. With multiple recent detections of CRB on Moloka'i for the first time ever, **now is not the time to relax protections against the further introduction of CRB to the island, nor is it the time to distract from or disrupt the active rapid response efforts being undertaken by the Moloka'i community to detect and eradicate any potential CRB infestations as soon as possible.**

Second, with regards to C-3, I have grave concerns about moving forward with a list of proposed designated "pests" based only on certain species of concern identified over 18 years ago. The 2008 list of invasive species proposed for "pest" designation and regulatory action - such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions - ignores a number of invasive species that have arisen as serious threats since that time, as well as the extensive work that has been done to identify additional species of concern (such as the queensland longhorn beetle, the two-lined spittlebug, or the hala scale) over the last 5 years. **Please do not "lock in" official pest designations to only those invasive species that were deemed a threat nearly two decades ago, and urge DAB staff to "go back to the drawing board" to consult with experts and community members who have been at the forefront of our current fight against invasive species.**

Mahalo nui for your consideration of this matter!

Me ke aloha 'āina,

Lauren Ballesteros-Watanabe

[EXTERNAL] Testimony on Agenda Items C-2 and C-3.

From Maggie McKay <maggiemckay05@gmail.com>

Date Tue 4/28/2026 5:58 AM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

My name is Maggie McKay and I am a resident of O'ahu. I respectfully urge you to **DENY** the staff recommendations in items C-2 and C-3, concerning 1) the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules you adopted last year, and 2) a rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity ("DAB").

First, with regards to C-2, I stand with Moloka'i residents who successfully advocated for last year's historic CRB emergency rule for Moloka'i, in urging you to reject the petition for an exception to this rule.

Notably, while submitted by the Kalaupapa superintendent, the petition would allow for "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of the island. However, despite the wide-ranging implications and increased risk of CRB introductions, the petitioner still has not met meaningfully with the larger Moloka'i community. Instead, it has substituted community consultation with a survey it appears to have developed on its own, and circulated among only a few dozen individuals, nearly all from Kalaupapa. **Minimally, much more community conversation and dialogue is needed before you even consider this controversial proposal.**

Beyond the need to actually consult with the larger community, including and particularly the advocates for last year's importation ban, this petition should also be rejected as particularly untimely. With multiple recent detections of CRB on Moloka'i for the first time ever, **now is not the time to relax protections against the further introduction of CRB to the island, nor is it the time to distract from or disrupt the active rapid response efforts being undertaken by the Moloka'i community to detect and eradicate any potential CRB infestations as soon as possible.**

Second, with regards to C-3, I have grave concerns about moving forward with a list of proposed designated "pests" based only on certain species of concern identified over 18 years ago. The 2008 list of invasive species proposed for "pest" designation and regulatory action - such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions - ignores a number of invasive species that have arisen as serious threats since that time, as well as the extensive work that has been done to identify additional species of concern (such as the queensland longhorn beetle, the two-lined spittlebug, or the hala scale) over the last 5 years. **Please do not "lock in" official pest designations to only those invasive species that were deemed a threat nearly two decades ago, and urge DAB staff to "go back to the drawing board" to consult with experts and community members who have been at the forefront of our current fight against invasive species.**

Mahalo nui for your consideration of this matter.

[EXTERNAL] Testimony on Agenda Items C-2 and C-3.

From Malcolm Mackey <ozymandias35@hotmail.com>

Date Mon 4/27/2026 7:37 PM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha Chair Hurd and Members of the Board of Agriculture and Biosecurity,

My name is Malcolm Mackey and I am a resident of Molokai. I respectfully urge you to **REJECT** the staff recommendations in items C-2 and C-3, concerning 1) the petition for an exception to the Moloka'i coconut rhinoceros beetle ("CRB") rules you adopted last year, and 2) a rulemaking proposal to designate certain invasive species as "pests" subject to "regulatory action" by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity ("DAB").

First, with regards to C-2, I stand with Moloka'i residents who successfully advocated for last year's historic CRB emergency rule for Moloka'i, in urging you to reject the petition for an exception to this rule.

Notably, while submitted by the Kalaupapa superintendent, the petition would allow for "treated" gravel to be imported to any part of the island. However, despite the wide-ranging implications and increased risk of CRB introductions, the petitioner still has not met meaningfully with the larger Moloka'i community. Instead, it has substituted community consultation with a survey it appears to have developed on its own, and circulated among only a few dozen individuals, nearly all from Kalaupapa. **Minimally, much more community conversation and dialogue is needed before you even consider this controversial ask.**

Beyond the need to actually consult with the larger community, including and particularly the advocates for last year's importation ban, this petition should also be rejected as particularly untimely. With multiple recent detections of CRB on Moloka'i for the first time ever, **now is not the time to relax protections against the further introduction of CRB to the island, nor is it the time to distract from or disrupt the active rapid response efforts being undertaken by the Moloka'i community to detect and eradicate any potential CRB infestations as soon as possible.**

Second, with regards to C-3, I have grave concerns about moving forward with a list of designated pests, based only on certain species of concern identified over 18 years ago. The 2008 list of invasive species proposed for "pest" designation and regulatory action - such as importation bans and intrastate movement prohibitions - ignores a number of invasive species that have arisen as serious threats since that time, as well as the extensive work that has been done to identify additional species of concern (such as the queensland longhorn beetle, the two-lined spittlebug, or the hala scale) over the last 5 years. **Please do not "lock in" official pest designations to only those invasive species that were deemed a threat nearly two decades ago, and urge DAB staff to "go back to the drawing board" to consult with experts and community members who have been at the forefront of our current fight against invasive species.**

Mahalo nui for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Mackey

[EXTERNAL] Board of Agriculture & Biosecurity 4/28/26 Meeting/Testimony

From Racquel Hill-Achiu <rhachiu@gmail.com>

Date Mon 4/27/2026 5:11 PM

To DAB.BOARD.TESTIMONY <dab.board.testimony@hawaii.gov>

Aloha e Board Members,

My name is Racquel Achiu, I am the Vice Chair for the North Shore Neighborhood Board (NSNB) and Chair of the NSNB Water & Land Committee. I also sit as a member of the City Council's Agricultural Development Task Force and the Chair to the Waialua High & Intermediate School Community Council. All considered, I am submitting testimony as an individual, a farmer/rancher in the Waialua Moku of O`ahu. My husband and I are piko, generational, to this space and although ranching has been a part of our lives since childhood, we have had our own operation for 25 years.

I am submitting testimony on Agenda item IV C.1 Importing of twenty seven (27) Bison to Honolulu by Aloha Bison LLC.

There are very strong concerns with this application and the intended purpose of the Aloha Bison Proposal that warrant serious Consideration.

Following are bulleted concerns that I am happy to elaborate on if/when asked;

- **Emergency Plan** to address the need for evacuation or response in the event of Bison getting loose - There is a school, church and neighborhood in the immediate area
- **How will the animals be contained** - they are not domesticated, extremely large and not able to be contained like cattle
- Bison are a RESTRICTED SPECIES because they are not DOMESTICATED and are known to carry diseases that can be a threat to environment, agriculture and/or public health. (STRONG Concerns if they were to escape)
- Community Outreach - **THERE HAS BEEN NO COMMUNITY OUTREACH** and certainly should be required considering the proximity to public
- At the 4/2/26 meeting, if memory serves me right, the applicant indicated the location being proposed had 1000 acres (??) Actually, the G Tree Ranch Land consists of two (2) parcels is 810.63 acres in totality. Not all of this land is favorable for grazing.
- Considering the severe drought conditions of 2024, through 2025 and into early 2026 provided extensive loss of natural grazing feed, resulting in alternate feeding options such as hay bales.
- **Where will meat be processed ?** There is only one USDA facility on Oahu that does not do BISON.
- **Will there be a vet on site at all times to certify the animals death to ensure appropriate processing ??**
- Considering that **Bison needs to be processed within a specific timeframe. Where will bison be processed (bleeding, skinning, transport, temperatures transported) to ensure safe processing and sale to public ?**
- This project includes a Visitor Center, Burger Restaurant, Meat Shop, Agri-tourism operation and Wildlife Management Business ALL OF WHICH require discretionary permits. Concerns in


that area are the "Visitor" component - having come off of 4 massive storms Emergency scenarios that require resources that are already strained in this community are also of concern.

- It is hard to believe that this project is about producing or providing "healthy nutritious grass fed meat for local island communities." The applicant stated in the 4/226 meeting that they currently do guided hunts and tours. Well with the intent of a "Visitor" Center Burger Restaurant, Meat Shop, AGRITOURISM Operation as stated on the applicants social media page, the focus is not on the community and the intent is to draw tourists to visit the center, join a guided hunt and tour the Bison (which, in my opinion, present a liability issue)
- In the 4/2/2026 meeting Mr Botha stated that the area was not impacted by the Flooding as there was no runoff. Actually, the land WAS IMPACTED BY THE STORM with significant runoff and damage (I have pictures to support)
- At 4/2 meeting, the comparison to the Kaua'i location was in my opinion downplayed. There are strong similarities. The Waialua location is at the lower level of Mount Ka`ala. To give some idea of the surrounding area, the 2 Kona Low storms alone (not including the 1st storm of February or the last storm of April) Mount Ka`ala provided 49 inches of rain that filled the valleys that drape the side of this mauna and surround this location and filled the streams, Kaukonahua Stream and Makaleha Stream, on each side of the area. There were definitely impacts to the location in question.
- Fire is also an event that carries constant worry, especially during dry season. My ranch is always on alert when a fire ignites nearby even from as far off as Schofield because IT HAS HAPPENED and cattle has been lost in the past at a neighboring ranch.
- In 4/2 meeting i heard Ms Miura (? Sorry hope i got the name right) when asked if there were resources to contain the animals should the need arise... I heard "we would PROBABLY work with other branches or resources for containment if there were an escape". Have there been conversations or plans made with those branches, agencies or resources? Are they able to support an emergency situation? How long would a response take? WHAT IS THE PLAN ?
- Impacting the existing beef industry of our existing Cattle ranchers by introducing a program/project/animal that has the potential to adversely affect local operations needs far more vetting, detail and planning.

I respectfully ask that this committee place the concerns of this community at the forefront. The safety and daily life of the residents of this moku should be a priority. Plans should be in place and shared, Community Outreach should be required and all consideration should be placed in the impacts upon people place and industry.

Mahalo nui
Racquel Achiu

JOSH GREEN, M.D.
GOVERNOR
KE KIA'ĀINA



EDWIN H. SNIFFEN
DIRECTOR
KA LUNA HO'ŌKELE

Deputy Directors
Nā Hope Luna Ho'okele
DREANALEE K. KALILI
TAMMY L. LEE
CURT T. OTAGURO
ROBIN K. SHISHIDO

STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKU'ĀINA 'O HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | KA 'OIHANA ALAKAU
869 PUNCHBOWL STREET
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-5097

April 27, 2026

Section C.2 Resubmittal of Request for: Review and Approval of the Petition From Nancy Holman, Kalaupapa National Historic Park, to Initiate Administrative Rulemaking to Amend Plant Quarantine Interim Rule 25-1, to Allow Gravel to be Moved From Any Other Area in the State to the Island of Molokai if it Has Been Subjected to an Approved Treatment Prior to Movement

The Hawai'i Department of Transportation (HDOT) submits testimony in support of the petition to amend the interim rule concerning the movement of gravel to Molokai. HDOT recognizes the importance of allowing the timely movement of gravel necessary to support our residents in Kalaupapa Molokai.

At the same time, HDOT emphasizes that approval for the movement of gravel must remain contingent upon appropriate treatment and biosecurity safeguards. We acknowledge that gravel, soil, and related aggregate materials can serve as pathways for the spread of invasive species, pests, plant pathogens, fire ants, coqui frogs, and other harmful organisms that threaten agricultural lands, native ecosystems, and surrounding communities, and there are ways to allow movement with mitigation measures and safeguarding the material.

HDOT therefore supports the petition provided that movement is authorized only with required treatment, inspection, and mitigation measures as determined by the Department of Agriculture and Biosecurity and other regulatory agencies. Protecting Hawai'i's biosecurity while enabling the maintenance of essential infrastructure must remain the priority.

We are in support of using Profume gas fumigant SLN Hi-2501 to treat gravel going to Molokai as we have witnessed the treatment of host material both in trial settings on Oahu, as well as field work in Kona. In both settings, All life stages of Coconut Rhinoceros Beetle were killed. Another benefit is the treatment worked to mitigate Little Fire Ants, and Coqui frogs.

This balanced approach allows necessary maintenance to proceed responsibly while safeguarding the long-term health of Hawai'i's lands and communities.

Sincerely,

Signature:

Email: edwin.h.sniffen@hawaii.gov

EDWIN H. SNIFFEN
Director of Transportation